Integrated Preparation and Imaging Techniques for the Microstructural and Geochemical Characterization of Shale by Scanning Electron Microscopy
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ABSTRACT

To better understand the influence of microscale geochemical and microstructural relationships on the bulk petrophysical properties of unconventional shale systems, core samples from four producing North American formations were cross-sectioned with an argon ion polisher and imaged with a field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM) using a variety of complementary detectors. We demonstrate distinct advantages of the ion-polishing technique for the preservation of the internal shale structure. Moreover, we show how such preparation affords a wider choice of imaging options for both chemical and structural characterization, such as backscatter electron observation at varying beam potentials coupled with x-ray and cathodoluminescence spectroscopic techniques.

INTRODUCTION

Gas and oil production from shale formations has become an increasing component of North American energy supply over the past ten years and may likewise rebalance the global energy portfolio in the coming decade. The fundamental microstructural and geochemical properties of shales differ markedly from traditional petroleum reservoirs, however, presenting significant technical challenges that today hinder full exploitation. Two of the more important differences lie in the small dimension and mixed wettability of their pore network (Passey et al., 2010), characteristics that themselves arise from the abundant micrometer- to nanometer-size clay mineral grains and organic matter macerals interspersed throughout more conventional carbonate or sandstone matrices. Not surprisingly, then, the application and interpretation of many conventional analysis techniques such as mercury intrusion porosimetry have proven to be more complex for
such critical petrophysical data in organic matter- and clay mineral-rich shale reservoirs (e.g., Dacy, 2010).

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM; see Table 1 for a list of most of the acronyms used in this chapter), is well suited to study the complex distribution and association of organic, mineral, and pore phases at the nanoscale that govern multiphase fluid transport in shale formations. The application of onboard backscattered electron (BSE), energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS), and cathodoluminescence (CL) detectors to ion cross-polished sample surfaces has opened an unparalleled window into such rock “fabric” (Ogura et al., 2007; Bustin and Bustin, 2008; Loucks et al., 2009; Wang and Reed, 2009; Curtis et al., 2010; Loucks et al., 2010; Milner et al., 2010; Sondergeld et al., 2010; Curtis et al., 2011a, b), which is in turn critical to describing the pore saturations, flow mechanisms, and production rates of fluids therein (O’Brien et al., 1994, 2002; Javadpour et al., 2007; Javadpour, 2009; Loucks et al., 2009; Ross and Bustin, 2009; Wang and Reed, 2009; Shabro et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2011). This chapter seeks to review the state of the art in such SEM preparation and analysis techniques for shales through a comparative case study of samples collected from several producing North American gas shale plays.

**METHODS**

Cores from the Marcellus, Haynesville, Woodford, and Barnett formations were collected, slabbed, subsampled into aliquots of millimeter-size fragments from 5-cm (1.9685-in.) depth intervals, split, and further pulverized for analysis (Table 2). Bulk density was measured using the Archimedes method. Values for porosity (\( \phi \)) were acquired via gas intrusion (Handwerger et al., 2011) and total organic carbon (TOC) contents were measured by combustion elemental analysis (EA) following carbonate removal using the HCl fumigation method (Brodie et al., 2011). Thermal maturity \( T_{\text{max}} \) values were acquired by RockEval pyrolysis (Behar et al., 2001), while vitrinite reflectance (\( VR_O \)), and bitumen reflectance (\( VR_E \)) measurements were obtained using standard organic petrology methods (Schieber, 2001). Mineralogy was measured by x-ray diffraction (XRD) (Ruessink and Harville, 1992).

Similar to established optical petrology techniques, several milligrams of pulverized material from the same aliquots used for bulk measurements were embedded in epoxy resin to mechanically stabilize them and polished using a broad argon ion beam cross-section polisher (CP) normal to the intended SEM imaging direction at 5 kV for 4 h. This workflow produced a typical cross-sectioned area of 1.5 \( \times \) 0.5 mm with minimal smearing or deformation (Figure 1) (Erdman et al., 2006a, b; Ogura et al., 2007; Loucks et al., 2009; Sondergeld et al., 2010). Whereas any features of the native shale fabric beyond the 150-\( \mu \)m window were compromised through pulverization, those

---

**Table 2. Bulk geophysical and geochemical characteristics for samples under study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>( \rho_b ) (g/cm(^3))</th>
<th>( \phi )</th>
<th>TOC</th>
<th>( R_O ) (%)</th>
<th>( T_{\text{MAX}} ) (°C)</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
<th>Carbonate(^a)</th>
<th>Clay(^b)</th>
<th>Pyrite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marcellus</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haynesville</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.4(^*)</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodford</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnett</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Calcite + dolomite + ankerite.

\(^b\)Illite + smectite + kaolinite + chlorite + biotite + glauconite + muscovite.

\(^*\)Solid pyrobitumen value.
Because major shale constituents such as organic matter, clay minerals, quartz, carbonate, and pyrite exhibit various degrees of hardness, traditional mechanical preparation (saw cutting, grinding, abrasive polishing, microtome cutting, etc.) tends to result in uneven surfaces as a result of smearing and/or pitting. Figure 2 shows an example of a Marcellus shale specimen polished with silicon carbide 1200-grit paper versus the same sample after CP preparation. The comparison clearly demonstrates that inappropriate sample preparation will confound subsequent attempts to image the exact locations of organic matter macerals and mineral grains along with both their internal and interfacial pore networks. In a study comparing native versus CP prepared surfaces, Milner et al. (2010) likewise concluded that such assessments of shale fabric are impossible without CP pretreatment.

Figure 3 shows relatively low magnification overview BSE images of CP prepared samples from the four formations investigated here. Backscatter images tend to provide better visualization of the various components of shale samples for two reasons: (1) the polished samples are very flat, thus providing minimal topography and relief-based contrast on which secondary electron (SE) images rely for interpretation, and (2) the BSE signal contrast is indicative of compositional differences—bright and dark areas indicate high and low atomic number materials, respectively. For example, kerogen and bitumen show up as dark gray regions while their chemically and spatially associated pyrite crystals are bright white, therein affording a means to readily differentiate these low and high atomic number components from the rest of the complex, intermediate atomic number mineral milieu (e.g., Figure 3). Nonetheless, the lower grayscale contrast among these other constituents and the overall compositional heterogeneity of shale specimens present a significant challenge to BSE studies of shale geochemical and microstructural relationships alone.

Although the advent of EDS elemental mapping has viewed within the fragments less than 150 μm themselves should remain representative at this smaller scale. The resulting surfaces were then coated with less than a 100-Å thick elemental carbon film via vacuum evaporator to mitigate charge buildup and were examined with a Schottky field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM) under high vacuum (10⁻⁴ Pa) using beam-accelerating voltages of 8 and 2 kV and a high-resolution, low-angle detector. Corresponding EDS elemental maps were then obtained using a 50-mm² silicon drift detector (SDD) at 8 kV for three frames (289 s per frame) with drift correction engaged. High-resolution CL and companion grayscale BSE images were also acquired using a FE-SEM system with a KE Centaurus CL detector containing a photomultiplier tube (PMT) set to detect photons in the 185- to 850-nm range.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Cross-section polishing is an indispensable advancement for fine-scale SEM investigations of the distributions and associations of organic matter, minerals, and pores in highly consolidated geologic samples.
BSE images can be collected at 5000 × 4000 (5 × 4K) pixel resolution, allowing the image to be expanded to effectively ×12,500 without any significant loss of pixel resolution. The same can be done with EDS, wherein maps can be collected at up to 4 × 4K resolution. These data sets can then be integrated to simultaneously yield a broad overview of shale geochemical composition along with information on associated microstructural features. Alternatively, a series of neighboring high-magnification, high-pixel-resolution images and EDS maps can be acquired in automated fashion using current FE-SEM software and subsequently combined into a large-scale montage (see Ogura et al., 2010, for a case study using brain tissue). An example of 2 × 2 tiled BS montage images collected at 5 × 4K resolution (46 × 15 µm viewing area) for the Marcellus shale is shown in Figure 4a, along with corresponding EDS Ca Kα spectral overlays documenting the locations of calcite, dolomite, and aragonite. Figure 4b demonstrates how a 2.5-µm² portion of the same montage can be used to examine organic matter- and mineral-hosted porosity in more detail without any loss of resolution. This approach can be further utilized with more rigorous BSE/EDS segmentation algorithms to relate microscale compositional and structural features of shales to their macroscale petrophysical manifestations.

Using the methods described, some general microscale compositional and structural features can be delineated in each of the shale formations studied here. The siliceous Barnett, for example, displays abundant organic-matter-hosted pores with less mineral porosity, similar to previous observations (Loucks et al., 2009; Wang and Reed, 2009; Loucks et al., 2010; Milner et al., 2010; Sondergeld et al., 2010), whereas micrometer- to nanometer-size pores in the argillaceous Marcellus sample appear to be predominantly associated with organic-clay mineral interfaces as likewise reported by previous studies (Milner et al., 2010; Curtis et al., 2011a). These mixed-porosity systems are bracketed by the behavior of the calcareous Haynesville, for which the high level of thermal maturation (Tmax = 512°C, VRg = 2.4%) has led to a greater abundance of larger organic matter-hosted pores; and of the carbonaceous Woodford shale, in which a pore network has yet to clearly develop in its abundant yet thermally immature (Tmax = 435°C, VRg = 0.6%) organic matter inventory. Indeed, mineral-based pores (perhaps volumetrically dominated by diagenetic pyrite) may represent the only viable storage and migration pathway for oil and wet gas production from relatively low-maturity shale plays or sections of a play. In any case, these microscale descriptions are qualitatively consistent with bulk compositional and
petrophysical properties measured on macroscale aliquots of the same material (Table 2). X-ray diffraction analysis, for example, indicates predominantly quartz, clay mineral, carbonate, and organic matter contents for the Barnett, Marcellus, Haynesville, and Woodford samples, respectively. In fact, the very high (23 wt%) TOC content of the Woodford as both measured by combustion EA and reflected at the SEM scale (Figure 3) is further expressed in a marked 0.5-g cm$^{-3}$ depression in bulk density relative to its lower TOC counterparts (Table 2). Organic matter maturation also appears to play a dominant role in setting the comparatively high porosity of 11.8% measured for the Haynesville shale.

Given the reputed importance of organic pore networks for petroleum storage and flow in shale reservoirs (O’Brien et al., 1994, 2002; Javadpour, 2009; Loucks et al., 2009; Wang and Reed, 2009; Shabro et al., 2011), SEM has become an increasingly important tool to investigate their basic structure and distribution. Even so, their small size has typically demanded high accelerating voltages (i.e., > 15 kV) coupled with BSE detection to achieve the nanometer-size feature resolution needed for accurate characterization. Yet the large volume of interaction (up to several cubic micrometers) produced at high acceleration voltages along with the low BSE grayscale contrast between these pores and the surrounding organic matter matrix can lead to subjective segmentation workflows. New developments in FE-SEM technology (e.g., Erdman et al., 2009, 2010) allow the user to maintain single-nanometer spatial resolution while imaging the specimen and performing microanalysis at lower accelerating voltages to reduce the interaction volume and improve surface contrast. Figure 5 shows a comparison of BSE images taken at 8 and 2 kV for the same Woodford shale specimen. The arrows point to features observed at 8 kV that completely disappear at 2 kV following reduction in the beam-specimen interaction volume. This behavior can also be modeled by utilizing the Monte Carlo simulations (Hovington et al., 1997) of beam interaction with carbon, silicon, and calcium (as rough proxies for organic matter, quartz, and calcite, respectively) at 8 and 2 kV (Figure 6).

Utilization of low beam voltages also reduces radiation damage to the shale structure itself. For example, our experience demonstrated that morphologically distinct calcite fragments have been shown to be susceptible to degradation under a 5-kV beam but encumber no apparent alteration at only 2 kV. Nonetheless, companion EDS mapping of all major elemental constituents of shale (i.e., silicon, calcium, aluminum, magnesium, potassium, sodium, iron, sulfur, oxygen, and carbon) still requires the collection of x-ray spectra across energies of up to approximately 8 kV depending on whether K or L x-ray lines are used for identification and quantification. For this application, care should thus be taken to minimize the amount of time shale samples are exposed to high beam currents and accelerating voltages by employing a large, high-efficiency SDD.

Complimentary information on shale elemental composition can sometimes be obtained at lower voltages and superior resolution using SEM-mounted CL detectors. In CL, the interaction of the primary electron beam with the specimen results in the promotion
of valence band electrons to the conduction band. Subsequent relaxation emits photons whose energies are characteristic of particular elemental constituents or mineral defects. These photons are then collected by an elliptical mirror, separated by a monochromator (if present), and detected by a PMT to render composite grayscale or spectrally resolved compositional information across a sample surface. Similar to EDS, the use of CL can therefore aid in the differentiation between various materials of different CL characteristics but similar electron backscatter coefficient (i.e., minimal difference in backscatter image contrast) (Erdman et al., 2009, 2010; Sondergeld et al., 2010). For example, the calcite, dolomite, and quartz constituents of shale studied here have distinctly bright CL signatures relative to less optically active components like clay minerals or organic matter. Moreover, CL has been previously demonstrated to be capable of discriminating finely crystalline, low-permeability dolomites from coarser, higher-permeability morphotypes as well as primary from authigenic quartz (Boggs and Krinsley, 2006). With this in mind, combined application of CL and EDS technology (Figure 7) promises to further uncover the microscale geochemical-microstructural relationships that underpin macroscale petrophysical properties of unconventional shale systems.

SUMMARY AND PERSPECTIVE

The addition of CP preparation to standard shale SEM imaging workflows over the past several years has revolutionized the microscale characterization of shale fabric (i.e., the distribution and association of organic, mineral, and pore constituents) that is likely to strongly influence petroleum storage and flow therein. The concomitant integration of more diverse yet complimentary detection systems such as CL and EDS along with the optimization of traditional detectors (SE and BSE) has lent further insight into the fundamental geochemical controls on microstructural properties in shales, not the least of which is the development of organic-matter-hosted nanopores on thermal maturation. Although focused ion milling combined with ever-more-sophisticated image alignment/segmentation software has garnered more recent attention because of its ability to render true three-dimensional (3-D) reconstructions of shale matrices (e.g., Elgmati et al., 2011a, b), the nanometer- to micrometer-level areas of investigation to which this technique is confined (along with the high cost of acquisition) has so far limited its widespread use in shale research. Future developments should therefore focus on comparing the upscaling potential of two-dimensional versus 3-D microscale information to core or wellbore log measurements as well as integrating SEM instrumentation with dynamic experiments such as hydraulic fracturing, multiphase fluid imbibition, and pyrolytic artificial maturation.
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